

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

-----  
CHARLES E. CURRENCE

Plaintiff

-vs-

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY

Defendant  
-----

: CASE NO. 1: 14 CV 80

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LESLEY WELLS

Plaintiff Charles E. Currence (“Mr. Currence”) challenges the Commissioner of Social Security’s final decision denying his application for Social Security Disability and Supplemental Security Income benefits under Title XVI of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1381 (Doc.1). This case was automatically referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kenneth S. McHargh for a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) pursuant to Local Civil Rule 72.2(b). In his R&R, Magistrate Judge McHargh concluded that the decision of the Commissioner is supported by substantial evidence. The record evidence as discussed in the ALJ’s decision is such that a reasonable mind might accept it as adequate support for the Commissioner’s final benefits determination. Accordingly, he recommended that the decision of the ALJ be affirmed.

No party has objected to the Magistrate Judge’s R&R. (Doc. 19). Therefore, it must be assumed the parties are satisfied with the Report’s conclusion. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court’s limited

resources. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge's R&R is adopted, and the decision of the Commissioner is affirmed, as supported by substantial evidence in the ALJ's decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Lesley Wells  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: 9 February 2015